



Shakedown in brittle-matrix fiber-reinforced cracked composite beams

Andrea Spagnoli, Andrea Carpinteri, Lorenzo Montanari Department of Civil-Environmental Engineering and Architecture, University of Parma, Parma (Italy) spagnoli@unipr.it

ABSTRACT. The cracking behaviour of a composite beam with multiple reinforcing fibers under periodic traction-flexure is analysed through a fracture mechanics-based model, where the edge-cracked beam section is exposed to external loads and crack bridging reactions due to the fibers. Assuming a rigid-perfectly plastic bridging law for the fibers and a linear-elastic law for the matrix, the statically indeterminate bridging forces are obtained from compatibility conditions. Under general load paths, shakedown conditions are explored by making use of the Melan's theorem, here reformulated for the discrete problem under consideration, where the crack opening displacement at the fiber level plays the role of the plastic strain in the counterpart problem of an elastic-plastic solid. The limit of shakedown is determined through an optimization procedure based on a linear programming technique.

SOMMARIO. Viene analizzato il comportamento meccanico di una trave in materiale composito fibro-rinforzato sollecitata a trazione-flessione mediante un modello basato sulle meccanica della frattura, ove la sezione fessurata della trave risulta soggetta a carichi esterni e alle reazioni di chiusura della fessura prodotte dalle fibre. Assumendo per le fibre una legge di cucitura rigido-plastica e per la matrice un comportamento elastico-lineare, dalle condizioni di congruenza si ricavano le forze di cucitura staticamente indeterminate. Le condizioni di shakedown per generici percorsi di carico sono determinate mediante l'uso del teorema di Melan, qui riformulato per il problema discreto considerato trattando gli spostamenti di apertura della fessura al livello delle singole fibre come equivalenti alle deformazioni plastiche di una solido elasto-plastico. Il limite di shakedown è determinato attraverso una procedura di ottimizzazione basata su una tecnica di programmazione lineare.

KEYWORDS. Brittle matrix composite; Fiber crack bridging; Melan's theorem; Shakedown.

INTRODUCTION

S everal composite materials used in different engineering applications consist of a brittle matrix and ductile reinforcements (bars, wires, fibers, etc.). By incorporating such reinforcements into the matrix, several mechanical properties are enhanced, including: cracking resistance, ductility, impact resistance, fatigue strength. Cracks might develop in structures of reinforced brittle-matrix composites, so that the overall mechanical behaviour, including the capacity to dissipate energy under cyclic loading, would strongly be affected by the crack bridging reactions of the reinforcements. Moreover, the progressive crack growth under cyclic loading influences the bridging behaviour, and causes significant changes in the mechanical properties of the above materials (strength, toughness, stiffness, hysteretic behaviour, etc.), eventually leading to failure.



Numerous theoretical models are available in the literature to describe the crack bridging behaviour of fiber-reinforced composites. For instance, under monotonic loading, the mechanics of elastic fibers, which might debond at the fiber-matrix interfaces, is investigated in Refs [1-5] with reference to their bridging effect on matrix cracking. Under periodic loading, the crack bridging behaviour, including cyclic debonding at fiber-matrix interface of fibers, is analysed in Refs [6-10] with the aim of predicting also the fatigue strength of the composite materials. According to the model proposed by the first two authors in Refs [11, 12] (see also Ref. 13), a fibrous composite beam with an edge crack submitted to cyclic bending moment can be examined by assuming a crack bridging model with a general linear isotropic tensile softening/compressive hardening law for the fibers and a linear- elastic law for the matrix. Elastic and plastic shakedown phenomena can be discussed in terms of generalized cross-sectional quantities and, by employing a fatigue crack growth law, the mechanical behaviour up to failure can be captured.

Within the framework of the LEFM-based model proposed in Refs [11, 12], the present paper is devoted to investigate, under combined axial force and bending moment describing general periodic load paths, the conditions of elastic shakedown (in the following the plain word 'shakedown' is used to mean 'elastic shakedown') by exploiting the Melan's theorem [14]. As a matter of fact, a parallel between the classical problem of an elastic-perfectly plastic body, for which the Melan's theorem was originally formulated, and the present crack bridging model with rigid-plastic fibers is drawn in the following. Then, the limit condition of shakedown under any traction-flexure history within a given load domain is determined through an optimization procedure.

THE CRACK BRIDGING MODEL

onsider an edge-cracked portion of fiber-reinforced composite beam with a rectangular cross-section under timevarying axial force F(t) and bending moment M(t) (Fig.1), where time t should be regarded as the ordering variable of the events, being the problem under consideration nominally static. The crack (which might be regarded as an existing flaw) in the lower part of the beam presents a depth a, and is assumed to be subjected to Mode I loading (i.e. the crack is normal to the longitudinal axis of the beam). Unidirectional fibers are discretely distributed across the crack and oriented along the longitudinal axis of the beam. The position of the *i*-th fiber (i = 1,...,n) is described by the distance c_i with respect to the bottom of the beam cross-section. Further, the relative crack depth $\xi = a/b$ and the normalized coordinate $\zeta_i = c_i/b$ are defined.

The matrix is assumed to present a linear elastic constitutive law, whereas the fibers are assumed to behave as rigidperfectly plastic bridging elements which connect together the two surfaces of the crack. Hence, the rigid-perfectly plastic bridging law of the generic *i*-th fiber is characterized by an ultimate force $F_{P,i}$ in traction (and $-F_{P,i}$ in compression), whichever of them exhibits the minimum absolute value [11, 12].



Figure 1: Schematic of the model.

During the general loading process, brittle catastrophic fracture or compressive crushing of the matrix are disregarded. Further, no edge crack is assumed to develop in the upper part of the beam. Stable fatigue propagation of the initial crack due to cyclic loading is beyond the scope of the present investigation.

Since the problem being examined is statically indeterminate, the unknown fiber reactions F_i (positive if the fiber is under tensile loading) on the matrix can be deduced from n kinematic conditions related to the crack opening



displacements w_i at the different fiber levels [11]. If $|F_i|$ is equal to $F_{P,i}$, the force of the *i*-th fiber becomes known, and the crack opening displacements are hereafter shown to depend on such a value. Since the matrix is assumed to behave in a linear elastic manner, the crack opening displacement w_i at the *i*-th fiber level is computed through the superposition principle

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{\lambda}_{\mathbf{N}} N + \mathbf{\lambda}_{\mathbf{M}} M + \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{F} \,, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, ..., w_n\}^T$ is the vector of the crack opening displacements at the different fiber levels, and $\mathbf{F} = \{F_1, ..., F_n\}^T$ is the vector of the crack bridging forces. Further, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{N}} = \{\lambda_{1N}, ..., w_{nN}\}^T$ is the vector of the compliances related to the axial force N, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{M}} = \{\lambda_{1M}, ..., w_{nM}\}^T$ is the vector of the compliances related to the bending moment M, whereas $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a symmetric square matrix of order n, whose generic element ij represents the compliance λ_{ij} related to the *i*-th crack opening displacement and the *j*-th fiber force (see Ref. [11] and the analytical expressions of SIFs in Ref. [15], pp. 52, 55, 71).

The incremental form of the governing Eq. 1 is (summation rule for repeated indices holds)

$$\dot{w}_i = \lambda_{iN} \dot{N} + \lambda_{iM} \dot{M} - \lambda_{ij} \dot{F}_j \qquad \text{with} \quad i = 1, \dots, n , \qquad (2)$$

where dot symbol indicates time derivatives, with $F_i = \int \dot{F}_i dt$ and $w_i = \int \dot{w}_i dt$. If the general *i*-th fiber is in the elastic domain, the corresponding increment of crack opening displacement \dot{w}_i is null, namely if $|F_i| < F_{P,i} \Rightarrow \dot{w}_i = 0$. On the other hand, if the general *i*-th fiber is yielded $(|F_i| = F_{P,i})$, the following two alternatives are possible: $\dot{F}_i = 0 \Rightarrow F_i \dot{w}_i > 0$ or $F_i \dot{F}_i < 0 \Rightarrow \dot{w}_i = 0$ (plastic-to-elastic return). In other words, we have

$$F_i \dot{w}_i > 0$$
 if $|F_i| = F_{P,i}$ and $\dot{F}_i = 0$; $\dot{w}_i = 0$ otherwise. (3)

SHAKEDOWN AND THE MELAN'S THEOREM IN PLASTICITY

et us consider a body made of an elastic-perfectly plastic material. Strain is additively decomposed into elastic and plastic parts

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon^{e}_{ij} + \varepsilon^{p}_{ij} \tag{4}$$

The plastic strain is defined by both a convex yield condition

$$\varphi(\sigma_{ij}) \le 0 \tag{5}$$

and the associated flow rule

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{p} = \dot{\alpha} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} \tag{6}$$

where $\dot{\alpha}$ indicates a non-negative scalar plastic multiplier ($\dot{\alpha} > 0$ if $\varphi = 0$ and $\dot{\varphi} = 0$). The Drucker's stability postulate holds [16]

$$\left(\sigma_{ij} - \sigma_{ij}^{*}\right)\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{p} \ge 0 \quad \forall \sigma_{ij}^{*}, \sigma_{ij} \text{ such that } \varphi\left(\sigma_{ij}^{*}\right) \le 0 \text{ and } \varphi\left(\sigma_{ij}\right) = 0$$
 (7)

The elastic-plastic body under consideration is submitted to cyclic external loading with period T, such that an initial transient stage (leading to some possible mean values of load components) is followed by a cyclic stage. At a certain instant, the material attains (possibly asymptotically) a steady state, where the stress becomes a periodic function with period equal to that of the external loading, that is, for a sufficiently high value of t (possibly for $t \rightarrow \infty$), we get $\sigma_{ij}(t) = \sigma_{ij}(t+T)$. If plastic strain does not occur in the steady state but it is limited to an initial transient stage, shakedown (or adaptation) occurs.



Shakedown conditions can be ruled out if one considers the linear elastic response σ_{ij}^e of the body under the external loading, namely the stress state, satisfying the equations of elastic equilibrium, that would develop in the body if its behaviour were perfectly elastic. Such a time-varying stress state $\sigma_{ij}^e(\mathbf{x},t)$, function of the material point position \mathbf{x} , is linked by a one-to-one relation to the load path. If a body (submitted to a given periodic load path) shakes down, clearly there must exist (*necessary condition*) a time-independent self-balanced stress $\overline{\sigma}_{ij}^p(\mathbf{x})$ ($\overline{\sigma}_{ij,i}^p = 0$ in V and $\overline{\sigma}_{ij}^p n_i = 0$ on S_F , where V is the body volume and S_F is the body surface where tractions are prescribed) such that

$$\varphi\left(\sigma_{ij}^{e}(\mathbf{x},t) + \overline{\sigma}_{ij}^{p}(\mathbf{x})\right) \le 0 \qquad \forall \mathbf{x},t \tag{8}$$

The Melan's theorem [14] supplies a *sufficient condition* for shakedown, and its statement is as follows: for given load path, an elastic-perfectly plastic body will shake down if and only if there exists a time-independent self-balanced (residual) stress $\rho_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ ($\rho_{ij,i} = 0$ in V and $\rho_{ij}n_i = 0$ on S_F) that nowhere violates the yield criterion when superimposed onto the elastic stress in equilibrium with the given load path, that is (note the strict inequality):

$$\varphi\left(\sigma_{ij}^{e}(\mathbf{x},t)+\rho_{ij}(\mathbf{x})\right)<0\qquad\forall\mathbf{x},t\tag{9}$$

An evident advantage of the Melan's theorem is that the actual time-dependent elastic-plastic stress in the body does not have to be determined and, hence, no incremental analysis is required to assess shakedown conditions. Instead, the elastic solution $\sigma_{ij}^{e}(\mathbf{x},t)$ is superimposed on a self-balanced stress distribution (which may be different from the actual one caused by the given load path) so that the resulting stress state is admissible with respect to yielding.

Usually the external load path is not known a priori so that, typically, a family of load paths is considered by defining a load domain given by the max/min values of each single load component. In more details, let the vector $\mathbf{P}(t)$ collect the independent load components $\alpha_h(t)P_{h,\max}$ (h=1,...,p) with $\alpha_h(t)$ time varying between $\alpha_{h,\min}$ and $\alpha_{h,\max} = \mu_h$. Therefore, the load domain is bounded by hyperplanes, and the shakedown condition in Eq. 9 has to be verified at a finite number of points corresponding to the vertexes, intersections of the hyperplanes. If a proportional variation of the ranges of load components is assumed $(\mu_1 = ... = \mu_p = \mu)$, the load domain varies in a homothetic manner, defined by the single load parameter μ . In such a case, the maximum value of the load parameter μ defines the shakedown limit.

Extensions of the classical shakedown theory (concerned with elastic-perfectly plastic materials in small displacements and strains) to more general material models (such as to include non-linear hardening, rate-dependence, damage, non associative plasticity) and to large displacements have been formulated (e.g. see Ref. [17]). Investigations on shakedown conditions in elastic contact problems with Coulomb friction can be found in Ref. [18].

SHAKEDOWN LIMIT IN THE CRACK BRIDGING MODEL

S hakedown theory related to plasticity material model can be extended to the case of the present crack bridging model on the basis of the following similarities. As a matter of fact, the stress state obtained from a linear elastic analysis can be regarded as the fiber forces due to zero crack opening displacements, that is, the forces which are proportional to the applied loads (axial force N(t) and bending moment M(t)). Hence such a force vector $\mathbf{F}^{(0)}(t)$ can be determined by equating the right-hand member of Eq. 1 to zero:

$$\mathbf{F}^{(0)}(t) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_N N(t) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_M M(t) \right). \tag{10}$$

Then, the time-independent residual stress corresponds to the fiber force vector $\mathbf{F}^{(\tilde{w})}$ due to non-zero crack opening displacements $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$, namely according to Eq. 1:

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\widetilde{w})} = -\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \, \widetilde{\mathbf{w}} \,. \tag{11}$$

The sufficient condition of the Melan's shakedown theorem can hence be written as follows:

$$\left|\mathbf{F}^{(0)}(t) + \mathbf{F}^{(\widetilde{w})}\right| < \mathbf{F}_{p} \quad \forall t .$$
(12)



Considering the case of the rectangular load domain defined by $N(t) = \alpha_N(t)N_{\text{max}}$ ($\alpha_{N,\min} \le \alpha_N(t) \le \mu_N$) and $M(t) = \alpha_M(t)M_{\text{max}}$ ($\alpha_{M,\min} \le \alpha_M(t) \le \mu_M$), the condition of Eq. Eq. 12 has to be verified at the 4 vertexes (e.g. see the cross path in Fig. 2). If one assumes a homothetic variation of the load domain, a single load parameter $\mu_N = \mu_M = \mu$ is considered, and the shakedown limit is obtained from the following optimization procedure:

$$\mu_{SD} = \max_{\substack{\mu \mid \Im \geqslant 0}} (\mu) \tag{13}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{N} \, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{N,\min} N_{\max} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{M} \, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{M,\min} M_{\max} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}} \right| < \mathbf{F}_{p} \\ \left| \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{N} \, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{N,\min} N_{\max} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{M} \, \boldsymbol{\mu} M_{\max} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}} \right| < \mathbf{F}_{p} \\ \left| \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{N} \, \boldsymbol{\mu} N_{\max} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{M} \, \boldsymbol{\mu} M_{\max} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}} \right| < \mathbf{F}_{p} \end{aligned}$$
(14)
$$\left| \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{N} \, \boldsymbol{\mu} N_{\max} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{M} \, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{M,\min} M_{\max} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}} \right| < \mathbf{F}_{p} \end{aligned}$$

ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

For illustrative purposes, we apply the model being presented to a fiber-reinforced edge-cracked beam under a rather general periodic traction-flexure loading. The aim is twofold: on one hand, we want to illustrate, by comparing results obtained from incremental analysis with those related to the optimization procedure, the correctness of using the Melan's theorem as a sufficient condition for shakedown. On the other hand, we want to describe, via the optimization procedure, some features of the shakedown traction-flexure domain as the fiber distribution along the beam height and the crack depth are made to vary.

The cracked section of the beam is exposed to a combination of sinusoidal axial force and bending moment of periodicity 2T:

$$M(t) = \overline{M} + \frac{\Delta M}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad N(t) = \overline{N} + \frac{\Delta N}{2} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}t + \alpha\right)$$
(15)

where $\overline{M} = (M_{\max} + M_{\min})/2$ and $\overline{N} = (N_{\max} + N_{\min})/2$ are mean values of N(t) and M(t), and $\Delta M = M_{\max} - M_{\min}$ and $\Delta N = N_{\max} - N_{\min}$ are their ranges. By varying the phase angle α , different load paths enveloped by the rectangular load domain defined through the min/max values of N(t) and M(t) can be obtained. Considering the case of $\alpha = 0$ for $(2k-2)T \le t < (2k-1)T$ and $\alpha = \pi$ for $(2k-1)T \le t < 2kT$ with k = 1, 2, 3, ..., a cross load path passing through the four vertexes of the rectangular load domain is generated. Figure 2 shows a sample of time histories for cross and elliptic (out-of-phase, with $\alpha = \pi/3$) load paths characterized by the same min/max limits.







The case of a fiber-reinforced concrete beam with height b = 0.3m and width t = 0.2m is examined. The Young modulus E of concrete is assumed to be equal to 30 GPa. Further, the concrete compressive strength and fracture toughness are assumed to be as high as to avoid crushing and brittle fracture, respectively. Equally-spaced long unidirectional glass fibers characterized by diameter of 30µm and tensile/compression strength of 2000MPa, are present in the matrix in a volume fraction equal to 20%. Three strength distributions of fibers along the beam height are taken

into account (Fig. 3), characterized by the same total ultimate force $F_{p,tot}$ (where $F_{p,tot} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{tot}} F_{pi}$, with n_{tot} = total number

of fibers along the height of the beam): (a) constant distribution; (b) linear distribution; (c) linear and symmetrical distribution.



Figure 3: Strength distribution of fibers along the height of the beam: (a) constant distribution; (b) linear distribution; (c) symmetrical and linear distribution.

Let us assume $N_{\min} = 0.2 F_{p,tot}$ and $M_{\min} = N_{\min}(b/6)$, and a homothetic variation of the rectangular load domain through the single load parameter μ ($\mu = \mu_N = \mu_M$). By means of the optimization procedure in Eq. (13), the shakedown limit can be obtained as the ratio M_{\max}/N_{\max} is made to vary. This leads, in the case of relative crack depth $\xi = 0.1$, to the normalized Bree-like diagram $(\mu M_{\max})/M_{\min} - (\mu N_{\max})/N_{\min}$ in Fig. 4, where the elastic domain is also sketched. The results determined for the three fiber distributions ((a), (b) and (c)) are compared in the same plot. Note that the ratios $(\mu M_{\max})/M_{\min}$ and $(\mu N_{\max})/N_{\min}$ correspond to the inverse of the fatigue loading ratios of bending moment and axial force, respectively, at elastic limit or shakedown limit.

In order to demonstrate the validity of the optimization procedure for determining the shakedown limit, the cross and elliptic load paths are analysed by means of the incremental procedure being presented. The ratio $M_{\text{max}}/N_{\text{max}}$ is assumed to be equal to 0.25, and the load parameter μ is taken equal to μ_{SD} and to $1.05\mu_{SD}$. The (c) fiber distribution along the height of the beam is examined. In Fig. 5, the bridging force against crack opening displacement curves of the 1st fiber (bottom) and 50th fiber (top, nearest to the crack tip) for $\mu = \mu_{SD}$ and $\mu = 1.05\mu_{SD}$ are plotted. It is shown that all the fibers shake down (the 2nd fiber to the 49th fiber are in intermediate conditions with respect to the 1st fiber and the 50th fiber) when $\mu = \mu_{SD}$ regardless of the characteristics of the load paths being considered. On the other hand, for $\mu = 1.05\mu_{SD}$, alternating plasticity with energy dissipation in hysteretic loops at the fiber levels takes place for the cross path but not for the elliptic one. This confirms the correct definition of the shakedown limit through the optimization procedure. Further, this corroborates that the proposed application of the Melan's theorem yields a sufficient condition for shakedown in the case of the load paths contained in the rectangular load domain, but no information is offered in the case of the load paths outside such a domain.

In Fig. 6, the shakedown domains for the three fiber distributions in the case of intermediate crack depth ($\xi = 0.3$) are compared. It can be seen that, as the axial force increases, the critical fiber dictating shakedown limit condition tends to be different from the bottom fiber so that, for instance, the shakedown bending moment at a certain axial force is smaller for the distribution (c) than for the distribution (b).



Normalized axial force, $\mu N_{\text{max}} / N_{\text{min}}$

Figure 4: Bree-like diagram showing the elastic domain and the shakedown domain for the (a), (b) and (c) fiber distributions with $\xi = 0.1$.



Figure 5: Bridging force vs crack opening displacement in the case of $M_{\text{max}} / N_{\text{max}} = 0.25$: (a) $\mu = \mu$ SD and cross load path; (b) $\mu = 1.05\mu$ SD and cross load path; (c) $\mu = \mu$ SD and elliptic load path; (d) $\mu = 1.05\mu$ SD and elliptic load path. The symmetrical and linear fiber distribution is considered





Figure 6: Shakedown domain for intermediate crack depth ($\xi = 0.3$) and different distributions of fiber strength

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a bridging crack model for a fiber-reinforced brittle-matrix composite beam under oscillatory axial force and bending moment is presented. A simple rigid-perfectly plastic bridging law due to fibers is considered. By drawing a parallel with the well-known problem of shakedown in elastic-perfectly plastic monolithic bodies, it is shown that the classical Melan's theorem of limit analysis can successfully be applied to the present model, where the crack opening displacements at the fiber levels play the role of the plastic strains in monolithic bodies. For illustrative purposes, the results of the optimization procedure based on the Melan's theorem are verified for a fiber-reinforced concrete beam by performing a step-by-step incremental procedure. Further, some features of the shakedown domain are shown as the crack depth and fiber strength distribution are made to vary.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al. Carpinteri, J. of Structural Engineering ASCE, 110 (1984) 544-558.
- [2] D.B. Marshall, B.N. Cox, A.G. Evans, Acta Metall, 33 (1985) 2013–2021.
- [3] L.N. McCartney, In: Proc. R. Soc. London A., 409 (1987) 329.
- [4] B. Budiansky, J.W. Hutchinson, A.G. Evans, J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 34 (1986) 167.
- [5] V.C. Li, J. Mater. Civil Eng. ASCE, 4 (1992) 41.
- [6] Al. Carpinteri, An. Carpinteri, J. of Structural Engineering ASCE, 110 (1984) 2073.
- [7] An. Carpinteri, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 39 (1991) 177.
- [8] M.R. Begley, R.M. McMeeking, Compos. Sci. Tech., (1995) 365.
- [9] R.M. McMeeking, A.G. Evans, Mech. Mater., 9 (1990) 217.
- [10] T. Matsumoto, V.C. Li, Cement Concrete Compos. 21 (1999) 249.
- [11] An. Carpinteri, A. Spagnoli, S. Vantadori, International Journal of Solids and Structures, 41 (2004) 5499.
- [12] An. Carpinteri, A. Spagnoli, S. Vantadori, International Journal of Solids and Structures, 43 (2006) 4917.
- [13] Al. Carpinteri, S. Puzzi, Journal of Applied Mechanics ASME, 74 (2007) 1239.
- [14] E. Melan, Theorie statisch unbestimmter systeme aus ideal-plastischem baustoff, Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Wien, Abt. 2A, 145 (1936) 195.
- [15] H. Tada, P.C. Paris, G.R. Irwin, The Stress Analysis of Crack Handbook, 3rd edition. Del Research Corporation, St.Louis (2000).
- [16] D.C. Drucker, Plasticity, In Structural Mechanics, ed. J.N. Goodier and N. J. Hoff. Pergamon Press, Oxford/London, (1960) 407.
- [17] C. Polizzotto, G. Borino, P. Fuschi, European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids, 15 (1996) 825.
- [18] J.R. Barber, M. Davies, D.A. Hills, International Journal of Solids and Structures, 48 (2011) 2041.