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#### Abstract

This paper aims at finding the sources of crack development in modern structures at an elevated temperature and under severe vibrations due to excessive load magnitudes and also of ceismic vibrations. In the present paper attempts have been made for solving the problems relating to large amplitude vibrations of uniform elasto plastic plates and shells under both static and dynamic loads using the method of constant deflection contour lines[8-15]. Also, the effect of crack development and its propagation through the structures have been studied rigorously. The works in this field by numerous researchers are discussed shortly in the introduction and considering all the assumptions made by them after proper correction compatible with the boundary conditions, the present author tries to develop the equations with consideration of multi aspect crack generating factors and their impact on the time periods of nonlinear vibration of the structures and the results obtained for a crack structure are presented along with that of the non cracked structure.


## INTRODUCTION

Modern structures are often subjected to severe vibrations and high temperatures. Sometimes the magnitudes of the applied forces or loads become very large, exceeding the elastic limit and brittle strength of the material used. Ceismic vibrations during earth quake, crashes and blasting of bombs etc. sometimes initiate the growth of fractures within the structures Also, if there exist any source of fracture like crystal defects, brittle disorder, imperfections etc within the structures (may or may not be visible from outsides), fracture will be developed and during the load variations in static cases or during vibrations in all dynamic cases and also during temperature elevation, the fractures have got dynamic character; i.e., crack begins to propagate through the structures causing a notable variation of the magnitude of the flexural rigidity of the material. Gradually, the crack developed within the structures causes a damage of the system due it its growth and dynamic nature. Griffith [1], Irwin[2], E. Erdogan [3], Orowan [4], G.I. Barenblatt [5], D.S, Dugdale [6], J. R. Wills [7] had made extensive researches on fracture mechanics. Some had attempted to explain the fracture character in structures assuming some sort of flaws within it. But all the works are based on some highly simplified hypothesis. To verify the flaw hypothesis, Griffith introduced an artificial flaw in his experimental specimens. The artificial flaw was in the form of a surface crack which was much larger than other flaws in a specimen. Irwin strategically partitioned the energy in two parts; the stored elastic strain energy which is released as a crack grows. This is the thermodynamic driving force for fracture and the dissipated energy which includes plastic dissipation and the surface energy also any other dissipative forces that may be at work.

The dissipated energy provides the thermodynamic resistance to fracture. The Stress Intensity Factor is
given by the following equations.

$$
G=G_{I}= \begin{cases}\frac{K_{I}^{2}}{E} & \text { plane stress } \\ \frac{\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) K_{I}^{2}}{E} & \text { plane strain }\end{cases}
$$

where $E$ is the Young's modulus, $v$ is Poisson's ratio, and $K_{\mathrm{I}}$ is the stress intensity factor in mode I. Irwin also showed that the strain energy release rate of a planar crack in a linear elastic body can be expressed in terms of the mode I, mode II (sliding mode), and mode III (tearing mode) stress intensity factors for the most general loading conditions. Irwin adopted the additional assumption that the size and shape of the energy dissipation zone remains approximately constant during brittle fracture. This assumption suggests that the energy needed to create a unit fracture surface is a constant that depends only on the material. This new material property was given the name fracture toughness and designated $G_{\mathrm{Ic}}$. Today, it is the critical stress intensity factor $K_{\mathrm{Ic}}$, found in the plain strain condition, which is accepted as the defining property in linear elastic fracture mechanics.

By applying the theory of fracture mechanics one can study the propagation of cracks in materials. Fracture Mechanics uses methods of analytical solid mechanics to calculate the driving force on a crack and those of experimental solid mechanics to characterize the material's resistance to fracture. It applies the Physics of Stress and Strain, in particular the theories of elasticity and Plasticity, to the microscopic Crystallographic defects found in real materials in order to predict the macroscopic mechanical failure of bodies.A comparative study of the static and dynamic characteristics of the structure will provide a notice for of such a failure before hand. The prediction of crack growth is at the heart of the damage tolerance discipline. Still, by studying the static and dynamic characteristics of the structures and comparing the results with the standard results of the same structures without any crack, an estimation of the safety factor may be obtained with ease.

## Derivation Of The Governing Differential Equations for A Non Cracked Structure

A shallow shell of uniform thickness `h` is considered. Let the equation of the middle surface of the shell, referred to an orthogonal coordinate system ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ), be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left(x^{2} / 2 R_{x}\right)+\left(x y / R_{x y}\right)+\left(y^{2} / 2 R_{y}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a shallow shell $r=\sqrt{ }\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ considered small in comparison to the least of the radii of curvature, $R_{x}, R_{x y}$ and $R_{y}$ which are taken to constants. When the shell experiences axisymmetric free vibration the intersections of the deflected surface and the parallels $z=$ constant yield contour lines of constant deflection. Application of D` Alembert's principle to an element of the shell bounded by such a contour at any time \(\tau\) and subsequent summation of the forces in the direction normal to the surface yields the following dynamical equations [1]: \(\int V_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ds}+\iint\left[\rho \mathrm{h}\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w}\right) / \partial \tau^{2}+\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}+\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}+2\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{xy}}\right) / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{xy}}\right] \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{dy}=0\) where the transverse reaction forces \(V_{n}=Q_{n}-\partial / \partial s\left(M_{n t}\right)\) in absence of fractures, \(V_{n}=Q_{n}-\partial / \partial s\left(M_{n t}\right)-f(a, G, K)\), the last term is due to fractures, represents the effect of the shearing force \(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}\) and the edge-rate of change of twisting moment \(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nt}}\) along the contour \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}\). According to Ilyushin`s theory of the elastic plastic deformation (1948), the bending moments $M_{x}, M_{y}, M_{x y}$ and their shear forces $Q_{x}, Q_{y}$ are given by the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}} & =-\mathrm{D}(1-v)\left\{\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)+v\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}} & =-\mathrm{D}(1-v)\left\{\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)+v\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xy}} & =\mathrm{D}(1-v)(1-\Omega)\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{x} \partial \mathrm{y}\right) \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}} & =(\partial / \partial \mathrm{x})\left\{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}\right\}-(\partial / \partial \mathrm{y})\left\{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xy}}\right\} \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} & \left.=(\partial / \partial \mathrm{y})\left\{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}\right\}-(\partial / \partial \mathrm{x})\left\{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xy}}\right)\right\} \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{Cos} \alpha+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} \operatorname{Sin} \alpha \\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nt}} & =\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xy}}\left(\operatorname{Cos}^{2} \alpha-\operatorname{Sin}^{2} \alpha\right)+\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \operatorname{Sin} \alpha \operatorname{Cos} \alpha \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Where, $\operatorname{Cos} \alpha=(\mathrm{dy} / \mathrm{ds})$ and $\operatorname{Sin} \alpha=-(\mathrm{dx} / \mathrm{ds})$.
Here, $\rho, \mathrm{h}$ and w are, respectivly, the mass density, the shell thickness and the deflection. Using the well known expressions for the moments and shearing forces and assuming that the membrane forces $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x},,} \mathrm{N}$ y and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}=\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}\right), \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}=\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{x}^{2}\right), \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{xy}}=-\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{x} \partial \mathrm{y}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2) finally reduces to:

```
\(\left(\partial^{3} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{u}^{3}\right) \int(1-\Omega) \mathrm{Rds}+\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{u}^{2}\right) \int(1-\Omega) \mathrm{Fds}+(\partial \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{u}) \int(1-\Omega \mathrm{G} \mathrm{ds}\)
\(+\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{u}^{2}\right) \int \mathrm{D}[(\partial \Omega / \partial \mathrm{x})(\partial \mathrm{u} / \partial \mathrm{x})+(\partial \Omega / \partial \mathrm{y})(\partial \mathrm{u} / \partial \mathrm{y})] \sqrt{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{ds}+(\partial \mathrm{w} / \partial \mathrm{u}) \int(\mathrm{D} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{t})[\mathrm{K}(\partial\)
\(\Omega / \partial \mathrm{x})+\mathrm{L}(\partial \Omega / \partial \mathrm{y})] \mathrm{ds}+\iint\left[\rho \mathrm{h}\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{w} / \partial \tau^{2}\left(1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)+\left(1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)\right.\right.\)
\(-2 /\left(R_{x y} \partial^{2} \Phi / \partial x \partial y\right] d x d y=0\)

Where R,F,G are given in Ref.13, \(\quad \mathrm{D}=\left(\mathrm{E} \mathrm{h}^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right.\), is the flexural rigidity.
Here, \(\Omega=0\) when \(\mathrm{e} \leq 1\), the region is elastic ; when \(\mathrm{e}>1\) the region is
plastic. Also, \(\Omega=\lambda\left[1-(3 / 2 \mathrm{e})+\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{e}^{3}\right)\right.\)
and \(e^{2}=\left(h^{2} / 3 e_{s}{ }^{2}\right)\left[\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial x^{2}\right)+\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial y^{2}\right)+\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial x \partial y\right)+\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial x^{2}\right)\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial y^{2}\right)\right.\)
\[
\begin{equation*}
=\left(h^{2} / 3 e_{s}{ }^{2}\right)\left[M(\partial w / \partial u)^{2}+N(\partial w / \partial u)\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial u^{2}\right)(\partial w / \partial u)+t 2\left(\partial^{2} w / \partial u^{2}\right)\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
\]
in which \(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}}\) is the yield strain, \(v\) is the poisson`s ratio, D is the flexural rigidity of the plate material, \(\lambda\) is a material constant.

Considering only the transverse vibration, we assume that
\[
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})  \tag{8}\\
& \Phi=\Phi(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
\]

Equation (6) will now reduce to
\[
\left[\left(\partial^{3} \mathrm{~W} / \partial \mathrm{u}^{3}\right) \int(1-\Omega) \mathrm{Rds}+\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{~W} / \partial \mathrm{u}^{2}\right) \int(1-\Omega) \mathrm{Fds}+(\partial \mathrm{W} / \partial \mathrm{u}) \int(1-\Omega) \mathrm{G} d \mathrm{ds}\right.
\]
\[
+\left(\partial^{2} \mathrm{~W} / \partial \mathrm{u}^{2}\right) \int \mathrm{D}[(\partial \Omega / \partial \mathrm{x})(\partial \mathrm{u} / \partial \mathrm{x})+(\partial \Omega / \partial \mathrm{y})(\partial \mathrm{u} / \partial \mathrm{y})] \sqrt{ } \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ds}+(\partial \mathrm{W} / \partial \mathrm{u}) \int(\mathrm{D} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{t})[\mathrm{K}(\partial
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{u},{ }^{2}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\Omega / \partial \mathrm{x})+\mathrm{L}(\partial \Omega / \partial \mathrm{y})] \mathrm{ds}] \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})+\iint\left[\rho \mathrm{hW} \mathrm{f}^{\prime \cdots}(\mathrm{t})+\left\{\left(1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)+\left(1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{x}\right.\right.\right.\) \(\left.\left.{ }^{2}\right)-2 /\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{xy}} \partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{x} \partial \mathrm{y}\right\} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})\right] \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{dy}=0\)
Consequently, the condition for continuity of deformation reduces to
\(\nabla^{4} \Phi=\left\{12 \mathrm{D}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right\} / h^{2}(1-\Omega)\left[\left(1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)+\left(1 / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\left(\partial^{2} \Phi / \partial \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)\right.\)
- \(2 /\left(R_{x y}\right)\)

This equation must hold over all points in the interior of the shell. After integration over the area and application of Greens theorem one obtains:
\(\left(\mathrm{d}^{3} \Phi / \mathrm{du}^{3}\right) \int \mathrm{Rds}+\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} \Phi / \mathrm{du}^{2}\right) \int \mathrm{Fds}+(\mathrm{d} \Phi / \mathrm{du}) \int \mathrm{Gds} * 12 \mathrm{D}^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{v}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{h}^{2}(1-\Omega)(\mathrm{dW} / \mathrm{du})\)
\(\int K_{x}(\partial u / \partial y)^{2}+K y(\partial u / \partial x)^{2} / t^{1 / 2} d s=0\)
where \(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}\) and \(\mathrm{K} y\) denote curvatures at a point and \(\mathrm{K} x y\) has been assumed to be zero in accordance with the shallowshell theory. Equations (11) and (13) are now the two basic equations for large amplitude vibration of shallow shell.
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\section*{ILLUSTRATION:}

Let us now consider a clamped dome of non-zero curvature upon an elliptic base. For the first approximation under symmetry consideration we may write
\[
\begin{equation*}
u=1-x^{2} / a^{2}-y^{2} / b^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
\]

Performing the contour integrations taken around the closed contour
\[
\mathrm{u}=1-\mathrm{x}^{2} / \mathrm{a}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2} / \mathrm{b}^{2}
\]

And the double integration extending over the ellipse
\[
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} / a^{2}+y^{2} / b^{2}=1-u \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
\]

Equation (11) in non dimensional form becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
& (1-\Omega)(1-u)\left(d^{3} W / d u^{3}\right)-2(1-\Omega)\left(d^{2} W / d u^{2}\right)-(d \Omega / d u)\left[(1-u)\left(d^{2} W / d^{2}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.2 \mathrm{P}\left\{\left(1 / \mathrm{a}^{4}\right)+\left(1 / \mathrm{b}^{4}\right)+2 v / \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{dW} / \mathrm{Wu})\right]+\left(\rho \mathrm{h}^{2} \omega^{2} \mathrm{P}\right) /\left(2 \mathrm{De} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right)-\{(\mathrm{Eh} \gamma) / \mathrm{D}\} \\
& (\mathrm{d} \Phi / \mathrm{du})=0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(P=\left(a^{4} b^{4}\right) /\left(3 a^{4}+2 a^{2} b^{2}+3 b^{4}\right)\), while equation (12) in non dimensional form will reduce to
\((1-\mathrm{u})\left(\mathrm{d}^{3} \Phi / \mathrm{du}^{3}\right)-2\left(\mathrm{~d}^{2} \Phi / \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{u}\right)+(1-\Omega) \gamma(\mathrm{dW} / \mathrm{du})=0\)
with \(\gamma=\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{b}^{2}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{a}^{2}\right) ; \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{wh} / \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}^{2} ; \Phi=\varphi / \mathrm{Ee}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}^{2}\)
(18)

\section*{METHOD OF SOLUTION}

On substitution of the value of \(\Omega\) into equations (16) \& (17), one obtains \(\left[(1-u)\left(d^{3} W / d u^{3}\right)-2\left(d^{2} W / d u^{2}\right)\right] Q_{1} f(t)-\left[2 M\left(d^{2} W / d u^{2}\right)(d W / d u)+N\left(d^{2} W / d u^{2}\right)^{2}\right.\) \(\left.N\left(d^{3} W / d u^{3}\right)(d W / d u)+2 t^{2}\left(d^{3} W / d u^{3}\right)(d W / d u)\right]\left[(1-u)\left(d^{2} W / d u^{2}\right)-2 P_{1}(d\right.\) \(\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{du}) \mathrm{Q}_{2} \mathrm{f}^{3}(\mathrm{t})-(E h \gamma / \mathrm{D}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})\left(\mathrm{d} \Phi / \mathrm{d}+\left(\rho \mathrm{h} /\left(2 \mathrm{De} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right) \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})=0\right.\right.\)
and \((1-u)\left(d^{3} \Phi / d u^{3}\right)-2\left(d^{2} \Phi / d^{2} u\right)+Q_{1} \gamma(d W / d u)=0\)
Where, \(\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\left[2 \mathrm{e}^{3}(1-\lambda)+\lambda\left(3 \mathrm{e}^{2}-1\right)\right] / 2 \mathrm{e}^{3} ; \quad \mathrm{Q}_{2}=\left(\lambda / 4 \mathrm{e}^{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{2}-1\right)\)
\(P_{1}=P\left(1 / a^{4}+1 / b^{4}+2 v / a^{2} b^{2}\right)\)
Also, \(e^{2}\) is given by
\(e^{2}=1 / 3\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{dW} / \mathrm{du}) 2+\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{dW} / \mathrm{du})\left(\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{u}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{t} 2\left(\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{du}\right)^{2}\right]\)
Suppose the shell is completely clamped along the boundary.
The boundary conditions are given by
\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{W}=0=\mid(\mathrm{dW} / \mathrm{du}) & \\
\mathrm{u}=0 \\
\Phi\left|\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{u}=0 \\
=0
\end{array}=(\mathrm{d} \Phi / \mathrm{du})\right| & \\
\mathrm{u}=0
\end{array}
\]

To find an approximate solution, we assume the following trial solutions:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\Sigma \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{j}} ; \quad \Phi=\Sigma \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{j}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
\]

On substitution of these trial solutions in equations (16) \& (18), we get the residuals \(\mathrm{R}_{1}\) \& \(\mathrm{R}_{2}\) which, after the application of Galerkin`s procedure, yield the following results.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left(\rho \mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{P}\right) /\left(6 \mathrm{De}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right) \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})=\left[(4 / 3) \mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{a}_{2}+(\operatorname{Eh} \gamma / 2 \mathrm{D}) \mathrm{b}_{2}\right] \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& +\left[(4 / 5) \mathrm{M}+(2 / 3) \mathrm{N}-(32 / 5) \mathrm{MP} \mathrm{P}_{1}-4 \mathrm{NP}_{1}\right] \mathrm{Q}_{2} \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{3} \mathrm{f}^{3}(\mathrm{t})  \tag{22}\\
& \text { and } \quad \mathrm{b}_{2}=(3 / 8) \mathrm{Q}_{1} \gamma \mathrm{a}_{2} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
\]
while the average value of `e` happens to be
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{a}_{2} \sqrt{ }\left[\left(1 / \mathrm{a}^{4}\right)+\left(1 / \mathrm{b}^{4}\right)+\left(2 / \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}^{2}\right)\right](40 / 9) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
\]

From equations (22) \& (23), one obtains the following time differential equations in \(f(t)\) :
\[
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})+\mu \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})+\zeta \mathrm{f}^{3}(\mathrm{t})=0  \tag{25}\\
\text { where }, \quad \mu=-\left(6 \mathrm{De}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}_{2} / \rho \mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{P}\right)\left[(4 / 5) \mathrm{M}+(2 / 3) \mathrm{N}-(32 / 5) \mathrm{MP}_{1}-4 \mathrm{NP}_{1}\right] \mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{a}_{2}{ }^{3}
\end{gather*}
\]

Since the series is rapidly converging hence considering the first few terms one may obtain the approximate value of the central deflection \(\mathrm{w}^{*}\) as
\[
\mathrm{w}^{*}=\Sigma \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}
\]

The solution of equation (25) is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{a}_{0} \operatorname{Sin}\left[\mu \mathrm{t}\left\{1+(3 / 8) \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}(\zeta / \mu)\right\}+\theta_{0}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
\]

The time periods of the non-linear and linear oscillations are
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}^{*}=2 \pi /\left[\mu\left\{1+(3 / 8) \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}(\zeta / \mu)\right\}\right] \quad \text { and } \mathrm{T}=2 \pi / \mu . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thus \(\left[T / T^{*}\right]=1 /\left\{1+(3 / 8) \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}(\zeta / \mu)\right.\)

\section*{NUMERICAL RESULTS}

Numerical results are computed both for circular and elliptic plates \& shallow domes upon the circular \& elliptic bases in the elastic and elastic plastic regions and these are presented in tables ( \(1-4\) ). The computations are made with different values of the shallowness parameter \((2 \gamma / \mathrm{h})\) and material constant \(v=0.3\). Dynamic responses of the elasto-plastic shells for moderately large amplitude are obtained from the same differential equations. Moreover, effect of crack / fractures are computed with the same equation only changing the term for Vn in equation \((2, b)\) and making subsequent changes in other equations and the results are presented in the tables from (1-4, red coloured). This is no doubt advantageous as such both static and dynamic behaviors are obtained simultaneously with least effort.

\section*{TABLE-1}

Free vibrations of clamped plastic shallow shell with circular planform. First row results for without crack while the second row results[Red coloured] are with crack. \(\mathrm{e}>1, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}, v=0.3, \lambda=1\)
\[
\mathrm{T}^{*} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow
\]
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathrm{W} * \mathrm{a}_{0} \rightarrow\) & 0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 2.0 \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{\(2 \gamma /=0\)} & 1.0000, & 1.0256 & 1.1111 & 1.2903 & 1.6666 \\
& 1.0276 & 1.0453 & 1.0689 & 1.3561 & 1.7860 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=1\) & 1.0000 & 1.0184 & 1.0778 & 1.1940 & 1.4063 \\
& 1.0045 & 1.0235 & 1.0976 & 1.2357 & 1.5872 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=2\) & 1.0000 & 1.0099 & 1.0411 & 1.0975 & 1.1874 \\
& 1.0011 & 1.0212 & 1.1676 & 1.2879 & 1.3654 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=3\) & 1.0000 & 1.0056 & 1.0230 & 1.0532 & 1.0985 \\
& 1.0078 & 1.0487 & 1.1432 & 1.1769 & 1.1980 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=4\) & 1.0000 & 1.0037 & 1.0149 & 1.0345 & 1.0621 \\
& 1.0061 & 1.0764 & 1.1267 & 1.1478 & 1.1645 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=5\) & 1.0000 & 1.0024 & 1.0095 & 1.1215 & 1.0390 \\
& 1.0032 & 1.0989 & 1.1087 & 1.1112 & 1.1313 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE - 2

Free vibrations of clamped plastic shallow shell with elliptic planform .e \(>1, a=2 b, v=\) \(0.3, \lambda=1\). First row results for without crack while the second row results[Red coloured] are with crack.
\[
\mathrm{T}^{*} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow
\]
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{a}_{0} \rightarrow\) & 0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 2.0 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=0\) & 1.0000 & 1.0866 & 1.4682 & 2.5398 & 4.6241 \\
& 1.0087 & 1.1432 & 1.8760 & 2.7890 & 5.0023 \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=1\) & 1.0000 & 1.0611 & 1.2995 & 2.0768 & 2.2857 \\
& 1.1123 & 1.1564 & 1.8709 & 2.9556 & 3.0986 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=2\) & 1.0000 & 1.0325 & 1.1439 & 1.3947 & 2.6125 \\
& 1.2345 & 1.4532 & 1.6750 & 1.9870 & 3.1012 \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=3\) & 1.0000 & 1.0182 & 1.0771 & 1.1919 & 3.4532 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=4\) & 1.5680 & 1.7690 & 1.9080 & 2.3240 & 1.2176 \\
& 1.0000 & 1.8753 & 1.0468 & 1.1117 & 1.13700 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=5\) & 1.6730 & 1.0075 & 1.0310 & 1.0726 & 3.7800 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE - 3}

Free vibrations of clamped elastic shallow shell with circular planform .e \(>1, a=b, v=\) \(0.3, \lambda=1\). First row results for without crack while the second row results[Red coloured] are with crack. \(\quad T^{*} / T \rightarrow\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathrm{W}{ }^{*} \mathrm{a}_{0} \rightarrow\) & 0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 2.0 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma /=0\) & 1.0000 & 1.9582 & 0.8513 & 0.7179 & 0.5887 \\
& 1.0500 & 2.1865 & 2.5087 & 3.1254 & 3.2754 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=1\) & 1.0000 & 1.9695 & 0.8880 & 0.7789 & 0.6646 \\
& 1.0836 & 2.3905 & 2.650 & 3.2300 & 3.4322 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma \mathrm{~h}=2\) & 1.0000 & 1.9831 & 0.9355 & 0.8657 & 0.7840 \\
& 1.1021 & 2.5214 & 2.8800 & 3.3500 & 3.4804 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=3\) & 1.0000 & 1.9903 & 0.9623 & 0.9189 & 0.8645 \\
& 1.1208 & 2.7806 & 2.9231 & 3.5602 & 3.7120 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma \mathrm{~h}=4\) & 1.0000 & 1.9936 & 0.9751 & 0.9457 & 0.9074 \\
& 1.1457 & 2.9807 & 3.0061 & 3.6615 & 3.8654 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=5\) & 1.0000 & 1.9960 & 0.9838 & 0.9645 & 0.9385 \\
& 1.1674 & 3.0085 & 3.0120 & 3.7211 & 3.9800 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE-4
Free vibrations of clamped elastic shallow shell with elliptic planform .e \(>1, a=2 b, v=\) \(0.3, \lambda=1\). First row results for without crack while the second row results[Red coloured] are with crack.
\(\mathrm{T}^{*} / \mathrm{T} \rightarrow\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \(\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow\) & 0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 & 2.0 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma /=0\) & 1.0000 & 0.8778 & 0.6423 & 0.4436 & 0.3098 \\
& 1.0764 & 2.3421 & 2.3675 & 3.1586 & 3.4210 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=1\) & 1.0000 & 0.9085 & 0.7130 & 0.5247 & 0.3883 \\
& 1.0887 & 2.4531 & 2.422 & 3.426 & 3.4799 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=2\) & 1.0000 & 0.9479 & 0.8200 & 0.6692 & 0.5323 \\
& 1.0912 & 2.6487 & 2.7120 & 3.6870 & 3.7123 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=3\) & 1.0000 & 0.9697 & 0.8888 & 0.7805 & 0.6667 \\
& 1.1105 & 2.7896 & 2.8760 & 3.8002 & 3.9431 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=4\) & 1.0000 & 0.9808 & 0.9276 & 0.8507 & 0.7622 \\
& 1.1236 & 2.9807 & 3.0023 & 3.9034 & 3.9890 \\
\hline \(2 \gamma / \mathrm{h}=5\) & 1.0000 & 0.9865 & 0.9480 & 0.8902 & 0.8203 \\
& 1.1432 & 3.0231 & 3.0765 & 3.9986 & 4.0064 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{OBSERVATIONSANDCONCLUSIONS}

It is observed that the results obtained for elastic shallow shells are in excellent agreement with those obtained in [4,5].Also, the results for plastic shells based on circular and elliptic domes are in good agreement with those obtained in [4]. It is found in both the cases that the ratio of nonlinear to linear time periods become larger in case of plastic shell in comparison to those obtained in case of elastic shells and which is expected. This actually supports the application of the isodeflection contour lines method to analyse such problems. Inspite of that the method has some limitations. It heavily relies on the accuraqcy of the choice of the isodeflection contour function \(u(x, y)\). The main advantage of the method lies in the fact that once the form of the function \(u(x, y)\) is chosen suitably the remaining task can be tackled with ease and accuracy. Numerical results [red coloured] obtained for the plates and shells in presence of fractures reveal the fact that due to reduction of the values of elastic constants reaction falls and some irregularities are observed when the cracks propagating through the specimen increasing their dimensions which is expected.
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