Finite Element Simulation of Interfacial Fracture between Fe₃C and α-Fe Based on Cohesive Zone Law Deriving from Molecular Dynamics
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Abstract  Once the cohesive zone model (CZM) is used to describe material behavior near crack front zone, it was convenient to simulate crack propagation by using finite element. Actually, the cohesive zone model is depicted by means of the traction-separation relation. But, it is very difficult to determine such a relation, i.e., the traction-separation relation, through experiment, respectively. The traction-separation laws of most previous work are often assumed rather than predicted. First of all, in order to parameterize and obtain a traction-separation (T-S) law, Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations via Large-Scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator (LAMMPS) are carried out at atomic scale for the deformation and fracture of Fe₃C-α-Fe interface in tensile loadings at different temperatures in our study. Then, the generated parameterized traction-separation law is implemented in the finite element model with the behavior of the CZM described by traction-separation (T-S) law. Finally, the ABAQUS finite element commercial software is employed to simulate the crack propagation behavior for X70 pipeline steel CT specimen.
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1. Introduction

After more than ten years of construction and development, the length of high pressure gas pipeline made of X70 steel has over 8,000 kilometers in China. As a structure material, X70 pipeline has high excellent strength and toughness. The research of mechanical properties, deformation and fracture mechanism of X70 steel become more and more important. Metallographic analysis show that X70 steel mainly consists of ferrite and a small amount of pearlite[1]. Physics-based modeling of fracture begins at nanometer length scales in which atomic simulation is used to predict the formation, propagation and interaction of fundamental damage mechanisms[2,3]. In recent years, in this research field, great attentions have been paid to use the cohesive zone model (CZM) to set up the leaking between atomic-scale and macro-scale near crack front zone. The theory of cohesive zone model may be traced back to the early works by Dugdale[4] and Barrenblatt[5], in which both concepts of atomistic de-cohesion and the defect process zone are established. Xu and Needleman[6,7] first related the cohesive zone model (CZM) with finite element analysis, and they developed the cohesive finite element method and successfully applied it to simulate crack propagation problems. Cohesive elements that possess zero volume in an undeformed state are inserted between bulk elements. They are particularly appropriate when the crack propagation path can be well-reasoned. Cohesive zone law defines the relation between traction and crack opening displacement. Because it is difficult to direct experimentally quantify the relation, construction of such a law has been a challenging task in the past decade[8,9]. The traction-separation (T-S) relationship in a CZM is generally parameterized through empirical data, such as by using the macroscopic fracture toughness of the material or by conducting nanocrystalline experiments to obtain the stress-strain data[10]. The problem with inputting macroscopic/empirical values of fracture toughness is that these are aggregate responses of hundreds of thousands of grains applied to the location where fracture occurs[11].

This paper simulated a compact tension fracture mechanics specimen through cohesive elements whose T-S relation between Fe₃C and α-Fe was derived from MD simulations. These results enable
us to make several recommendations to improve the methodology used to derive cohesive laws from MD simulations. Furthermore the numerical analysis presented here helps to better understand the crack propagation behavior of X70 pipeline steel.

2. MD model and simulation procedure

2.1. EAM/FS potential function

Molecular dynamics method, which considers the atomic movement is governed by Newton equations under the experience potential field which was determined by all other atoms in multi-body system, can be used to calculate system dynamic problems consisting of a large number of atoms. The interaction between the atoms can be reflected through potential function. Proper selection of the potential function types as well as the potential function parameters plays the key roles in the simulation results.

As a representative of the multi-body potential, the basic idea of Embedded Atom Method (EAM) is to divide the total potential energy into pair potential among the interaction of atomic crystal and the embedded potential of nucleus, which are embedded in the electron cloud and representing the interaction of multi-body.

In 1984, based on EAM potential, Finnis and Sinclair[12] developed a kind of multi-body potential with embedded energy function, hereinafter referred to as the FS-EAM potential. Like the majority of the potential functions based on EAM potential, the FS-EAM potential can be used to simulate the atomic-scale mechanisms of deformation and failure in metal materials, and the $i_{th}$ atom potential energy can be expressed by the equation:

$$E_i = F_i \sum_{j \neq i} \rho_{ij}(r_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \phi_{ij}(r_{ij})$$

The form of EAM potential and FS-EAM potential is consistent, but the $\rho$ is the function of the type of atom $i$ and $j$, which means the contribution of different elements on the same position of the atoms of the total electron density is not equal, and it can be given by the following expression:

$$\rho_i = \sum_{\mu \neq i} \rho_{i\mu}(r_{i\mu})$$

In expression (2),

$$\rho_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} A_k (R_k - r_{ij})^3 H(R_k - r_{ij})$$

$$\phi_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} a_k (r_{ik} - r_{ij})^3 H(r_{ik} - r_{ij})$$

Thereinto,

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (x > 0) \\ 1 & (x < 0) \end{cases}$$

In which, $A_k$, $R_k$, $a_k$, $r_k$ for constants, and $R_1 > R_2 > r_1 > r_2 > ... > r_6$.

The melting point of $\alpha$-Fe was obtained by LAMMPS in order to verify the FS-EAM potential. The initial configuration of the simulated system was composed of 8×8×5 bcc-Fe cellular, a total of 640 atoms, the time step was 0.005 ps, using three-dimensional periodic boundary conditions, let the system in the 2.5K relaxation 100000 steps, and then use the Nose-Hover method to keep the pressure around zero, and then elevated system temperature from $T=2.5K$ to 2500K the rate of $4.1625 \times 10^{11}K/s$. During the simulation, the thermodynamic results were output every 1000 steps, as shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2, respectively. The average atomic volume almost linear increase with
the temperature and simulation time in the heating process, which turn up suddenly increases when the temperature reaches a certain value. This phenomenon indicated that the system had undergone some kind of phase transition. The melting point of $\alpha$-Fe is about 1873 K, and simulations in the 1990 K near a phase transition, increased by 6.2% than its equilibrium melting point. Such deviation derived from the melting point between the MD simulation and the actual sample were relatively common, which was related with using three-dimensional periodic boundary conditions in simulation system, the limited number of particles and the accuracy of interaction potential.

In the simulation, the system contains two elements, i.e., Fe and C. The Fe/C alloy EAM/FS potential function parameters\cite{13}, which were evaluated by melting point testing were adopted in this work.

### 2.2. Specimen setup

The MD model used for conducting fracture studies is shown in Fig. 3. The dimensions in the x-y plane are $200\,\text{Å} \times 192\,\text{Å}$ with thickness in the z-direction equaling $8.82\,\text{Å}$. The total atoms of the model were 31978. The $x\ y\ z$ coordinate system represents the lattice directions $[0\ 1\ 0]$, $[1\ 0\ 0]$ and $[0\ 0\ 1]$ respectively. In the model free boundary conditions were used in the x- and y-directions, while it was periodic boundary in the z-direction. As shown in Fig. 3, the simulation domain is divided into three regions. Atoms that are in regions 1 and 3 are boundary atoms through which tensile load are applied. A crack of length $100\,\text{Å}$ was generated at the interface of $Fe_3C$ and $\alpha$-Fe. During MD simulations of tensile crack propagation, the system is uniformly stretched (by moving each atom a distance corresponding to a uniform normal strain increment) in the Y-direction at each time step\cite{2}. And the duration was less than $0.001\,\text{ps}$, which made it relax freely till the valley of energy status. Molecular dynamics simulation time step was given with $t=0.001\,\text{ps}$. Integral calculation on system movement equation through Verlet techniques was applied during simulation. The simulation process: applying $NVE$ system tracking and in every time step, maintain the temperature ($300K$, $473K$ and $673K$) constantly through re-calibration of the speed of atoms. Firstly perform static relaxation to bring the system to the minimum energy status, and applying $3.128\,\text{Å}/\text{ps}$ strain rate in $y$ direction. A systematic analysis on the effect of strain rate was not conducted in this study.
Figure 3. The molecular dynamics models with pre-existing crack along the interface, all dimensions are in Å.

Due to the complex crystal structure of Fe₃C, in this paper, only [110] orientation faces were simulated, as shown in Fig.4. In Fig.4(a), for cementite (Fe₃C) with complex trapezoid crystal structure, every single cell contains 4 carbon atoms (gray ball) and 12 Fe atoms (blue ball) [14], while Fig.4(b) shows that the interface structure combined with body-centered cubic iron and cementite.

Figure 4. Fe₃C unit cell and interface structure schematic drawing

3. Simulation results

3.1. Stress-strain response

Fig.5 shows the atomic snapshots of tensile mode failure at temperature of 473K in different strains. The simulation model starts with elastic deformation from its initial state (Fig. 5(a)) to the yield stress at a strain $\varepsilon = 0.0303$. On yielding there is large scale debonding along the Fe₃C-Fe interface (Fig. 5(b)). After this the Fe₃C-Fe interface progressively degrades (Fig. 5(c)) and carries virtually no load at strain values above 0.1106.

Selected examples were examined to characterize stress-strain curves dynamics. Average atomic
stresses based on the Virial theorem\cite{16} were used to estimate global normal ($\sigma_{yy}$) stresses applied to the system, which could be defined as the volume average of potential for all of the atoms in the system:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} r_{ij} \otimes f_{ij} \right]$$\hspace{1cm} (6)

Zhou\cite{15} has presented the specific formula for calculation:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{V} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) r_i r_j \right]_{r = r_{\alpha \beta}}$$\hspace{1cm} (7)

The strain used here is the nominal strain actually. The results were used to map the stress-strain curves. To reduce thermal oscillation, values of stress and strain were averaged over 10 time steps (each time step is 0.001\,ps). A short averaging time of 0.01\,ps was used to retain the time dependence of the properties. While this averaging time is small, it still helps mitigate thermal noises as the averaging is performed over many atoms. The normal stress-normal strain curve obtained from the tensile mode simulation is shown in Fig. 6. It indicates that during the tensile test, the normal stress initially linearly increases as the normal strain is increased, corresponding to an elastic deformation of the system. To examine the effect of the presence of the crack, a similar normal stress-normal strain curve obtained from the tensile mode loading of with a crack sample is included in Fig.7, the maximum normal stress at same temperature is significantly reduced and only about half value of the no crack model.

![Figure 6. The normal stress-normal strain curve of models without crack at different temperatures](image1)

![Figure 7. The normal stress-normal strain curve of models with cracks at different temperatures](image2)

The simulation results for the elastic modulus and maximum stress as a function of the simulated temperature for both with and without crack models are summarized in Table 1. They have the same changing regularity for both perfect interface and imperfect interface, which is found the elastic modulus and maximum stress are reduced by the increase of simulated temperature, and the maximum values of modulus and maximum stress for no crack model at roomtemperature are seen to be 332.67\,GPa and 12.17\,GPa, respectively. Presence of a crack reduces the maximum load carrying capacity and additionally reduces the stiffness of the composite system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crack</th>
<th>Simulated temperature ($K$)</th>
<th>Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Maximum stress (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>332.67</td>
<td>12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>473</td>
<td>210.11</td>
<td>11.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>673</td>
<td>193.18</td>
<td>9.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>186.94</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Traction–separation response

To quantify the cohesive zone law, stresses and displacements at local positions are analyzed in detail. MD simulations are conducted for temperatures of 300K, 473K and 673K for tensile mode failure to develop traction–separation relationships for the CZM. Tensile ($\sigma_{yy}$) tractions are calculated as the average atomic stresses at a region of $20\AA \times 10\AA \times 8.82\AA$ adjacent to the crack. As these stresses are calculated locally near the crack surface they therefore relate to the traction in the traction–separation law [17]. The average traction and opening displacement associated with region were calculated using all the points in the region adjacent to the crack. This effectively reduces the scatter of the data [9].

Regardless of the temperature of the system or the mode of failure, the traction always initially increases as the crack opening distance was increased until they reached a peak value. The traction then decreased as the crack opening was further increased. To represent the CZM, a model that includes an exponential term is suggested to approximate the traction–separation responses shown in Fig. 8 for tensile mode failure. It indicates that the stresses initially increased as the crack opening distance was increased until they reached a peak value. The result for the maximum stress as a function of the simulated temperature is summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Simulated temperature (K)</th>
<th>Maximum stress (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>13.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>12.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>12.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Traction–Separation relationship for tensile mode failure in a $Fe_2C$-$Fe$ interface

4. Parameterization of the T-S law

Researches constructed cohesive models as that: tractions increase until reach a maximum, and then approach zero when the separation displacement increases. The thickness of the interface in the unloaded state is considered as zero. Tvergaard and Hutchinson (1993) [18] introduced traction–separation relation: let $\delta_n^c$ and $\delta_t^c$ be the maximum allowable normal and tangential components of the cohesive element. $\delta_n$ and $\delta_t$ denote the normal and tangential components of the relative displacement of the crack faces across the interface in the zone where the fracture
processes are occurring (as shown in Fig.9). The non-dimensional parameter $\lambda$ is expressed by Eq.(8).

$$\lambda = \left\{ (\delta_n / \delta_n^c)^2 + (\delta_t / \delta_t^c)^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Failure is attained in the cohesive element when $\lambda = 1$.

The potential is:

$$\Pi(\delta_n, \delta_t) = \delta_n^c \int_0^\lambda \sigma(\lambda') d\lambda'$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The normal and tangential components of the traction are given by:

$$T_n = \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \delta_n} = \frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{\delta_n}{\delta_n^c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$T_t = \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \delta_t} = \frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{\lambda} \frac{\delta_t}{\delta_t^c} \frac{\delta_n}{\delta_n^c}$$

If the tangential component of the traction is zero, the traction-separation law is a purely normal separation. The peak normal traction under purely normal separation is termed the interface strength. Generally, for Mode I cohesive zone model it only contains opening mode fracture, the relationship between the cohesive traction and the separation displacement could be expressed as:

$$F(\lambda) = \sigma = \sigma_c f(\lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In this equation, $\sigma_c$ is the peak traction, $f$ is a dimensionless function which relate to the shape of the cohesive traction-separation displacement curve.

A relationship between the traction and the crack opening displacement must be obtained when we use cohesive element. In the CZM, the fracture process zone is simplified as being an initially zero-thickness zone, composed of two coinciding surfaces. Under loading, the two surfaces separate and the traction between them varies in accordance with a specified T-S law. In our study a parameterized exponential T-S law is proposed based on the MD simulations. According to Eq.(11), the traction-separation law ($F(\lambda)$) is then implemented through Eq.(12). In Eq.(12) the maximum cohesive strength ($\sigma_c$) is simulated at room temperature. The constants parameterized from the MD results of Mode I are obtained in table 3. According to Eq.(12) and table 3, the curve of traction-separation relationships under different simulate temperatures has shown in Fig.10.

$$F(\lambda) = \sigma_c f(\lambda) = A\sigma_c \lambda \exp(-B\lambda) \times (1 - 0.001T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated temperature (K)</th>
<th>Maximum stress (GPa)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>2.6338</td>
<td>0.8142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>1.6951</td>
<td>0.4712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>1.1905</td>
<td>0.2643</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Simulation of the T-S fracture test

In order to model stable crack growth under static loading and analyze cohesive behavior derived from MD towards greater length scales, it is necessary to examine a scenario in which the effective stress intensity factor in the process of crack growth. Thus, we perform a simulation of crack growth for a CT specimen subject to displacement loading via prescribed motion of loading pins. Fracture of a CT specimen can verify whether the cohesive law derived from MD simulations displays behavior consistent with linear elastic fracture mechanics. The geometry and mesh of our CT specimen is shown in Fig.11. The specimen is 384 nm wide by $H = 369$ nm tall, with an effective width (the distance between the pin holes and the uncracked edge) of $W = 307$ nm, an initial crack length of $a = 155$ nm ($a/W \approx 0.5$), and pin holes of radius 38.4 nm. Our initial geometry contains a zero-width crack rather than the finite-width crack. Cohesive elements are placed along the predefined crack path, and are $1\text{Å}$ wide. This element size enables the cohesive zone to be resolved over a length of approximately $45\text{Å}$ (45 elements). The parameterized T-S law given was implemented in ABAQUS to simulate the behavior of the CZM. For the FE model of outside of the CZM, two-dimensional continuum plane strain incompatible elements (CPEI4) were used with isotropic material properties to represent the bulk elastic behavior while two-dimensional cohesive elements (COH2D4) were used for the CZM.
The crack opening behavior due to displacement of the top and bottom pins is observed in Fig. 12. Before crack propagation begins to occur, the cohesive zone begins to form, as seen in Fig. 12(a) and (b). Once a critical displacement is reached, crack propagation is seen in Fig. 12(c) and (d).

We can further verify our analysis by taking the value of peak load in Fig. 13, 68.06 kN, and combining it with geometric dimensions of the system to obtain the stress intensity factor. We obtain a value for fracture toughness of $J_c = 0.42 MPa m$. This value lies close to experimental result in literature [19].

Our study shows that the estimated fracture toughness coincides with test value from experiment. It shows the expected linear relationship between loading-pin displacement and reaction force. More complex fracture mechanics problems can be analyzed through combining the cohesive law derived from MD simulations and finite element method.

6. Conclusion
(1) Molecular dynamics simulations under a special configuration have been performed to study the mechanism of Fe\textsubscript{3}C-Fe interface under Mode I loading condition at different temperatures, and the FS-EAM potential used in LAMMPS is certified by melting point verification.

(2) The elastic modulus and maximum stress as a function of the simulated temperature for both with and without crack models, and the maximum values of modulus and maximum stress for no crack model at room temperature are seen to be 332.67 GPa, 12.17 GPa, 86.94 GPa and 6.04 GPa for crack model. The traction-separation relation of X70 pipeline steel with crack is characterized by MD simulations, the peak traction value of 13.15 GPa for tensile model at room temperature.

(3) The parameterized cohesive traction-separation relation for Mode I failure at room temperature to simulate the crack propagation behavior of X70 pipeline steel in a FEA. The curve obtained through our simulation is agreement with predictions from linear elastic fracture mechanics, showing the expected linear relationship between loading-pin displacement and reaction force.

(4) The fracture toughness gained from our simulations is close to the experimental result. It shows our methodology is feasible. Our study may provide several novel ideas for simulating complex fracture problems based cohesive laws used in FE analysis.
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